

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,823		11/20/2001	Eckhard Floeter	F7572(V)	7969	
201	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMINER		
UNILEVER				PADEN, CAROLYN A		
•	PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD ART		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				1761		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		1				
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
	10/043,823	FLOETER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carolyn A Paden	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a not ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comr ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>							
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	I I C						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	1 Office Action of form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		ightary 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			taaa				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		received in this inational St	lage				
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	Toolivou.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	es 🗀 M-4 6 II	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9' of copending Application No. 10/043,823 for reasons of record. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is not seen that the selection of a fat, such as Allanblackia or Pentadesma fat alone constitutes unobviousness.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant has indicated his intent to file a terminal disclaime once allowable subject matter has been indicated. Serial No. 10/045405 has now been passed to issue.

Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/045405 or US Pg Pub 2002/01148405 in view of Sagi for reasons of record.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Examiner has corrected the PgPub No. to reflect that the PgPub is the publication of the same application no. discussed above.

Art Unit: 1761

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 over Lommeth in view of Sagi or Adomako has been dropped in response to the declaration filed with this most recent response.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

Art Unit: 1761

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1761

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center

CAROLYN PADEN 4-19-04
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761

Page 5